arXiv:1511.07730v1 [math.CO] 24 Nov 2015

UNLABELED SIGNED GRAPH COLORING

BRIAN DAVIS

ABSTRACT. We extend the work of Hanlon on the chromatic polynomial of an unlabeled graph to an unlabeled signed graph. Explicit formulas are presented for labeled and unlabeled signed chromatic polynomials as summations over distinguished order-ideals of the signed partition lattice.

1. INTRODUCTION

Philip Hanlon computed the unlabeled chromatic polynomial (proper colorings up to automorphism) of an ordinary graph in [3], and showed an analogous result to Stanley's reciprocity relating the number of acyclic orientations of a graph to an evaluation of its chromatic polynomial [4]. We extend this work to the context of signed graphs, and give a geometric interpretation compatible with the point of view presented by Zaslavsky in [5]. We introduce the quotient graph of a signed graph by a signed-automorphism.

Our principal tool is the definition of an order ideal of the signed partition lattice which partitions proper colorings by containment in flats of the type-B arrangement. In Lemma 3.4 we give an explicit expression for the signed chromatic polynomial of a signed graph. Theorem 3.5 states the unlabeled version. Theorem 4.2 enumerates the unlabeled acyclic orientations of a signed graph. The last paragraph of Section 2 describes the quotient graph of a signed graph Σ by a signed automorphism β. It is constructed so that the signed graphic arrangement of Σ/β is isomorphic to the induced arrangement of the signed graphic arrangement of Σ on a distinguished linear subspace associated to β.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. **Signed Graphs & Hyperplane Arrangements.** A signed graph Σ is a graph $G = (V,E)$ with a sign function $\varepsilon : E \to \{+,-\}$ on its edge multiset. We allow multiple edges, half-edges (one endpoint), loops (both endpoints the same), and free loops (no endpoints).

A proper coloring of a signed graph is a map $\sigma : V \to \mathbb{Z}$ respecting the constraints that for edge e and given endpoint set:

- $\{i,j\}$; $\quad \sigma(i) \neq \varepsilon(e)\cdot\sigma(j)$
- $\{i\}$; $\quad \sigma(i) \neq \varepsilon(e)\cdot\sigma(i)$
- $\emptyset$; there are no proper colorings

Note that there are no proper colorings of signed graphs with positive loops or free loops, and that the properness condition for half-edges and negative loops is that their endpoints not be colored 0.

Date: November 25, 2015.

We are interested in the signed chromatic polynomial χ_Σ, a function whose input is a natural number k and whose output is the number of proper colorings of Σ taking values in $[-k,k]\cap\mathbb{Z}$.[1] A nice geometric viewpoint on (signed) graph coloring in terms of lattice points and hyperplane arrangements is described in [1] and [5].

The type-*BC* Coxeter arrangement (in dimension n), denoted BC_n, consists of the the following hyperplanes:

$$h^+_{i,j} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ x_i = x_j, \ 1 \le i < j \le n\}$$
$$h^-_{i,j} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ x_i = -x_j, \ 1 \le i, \ j \le n\}.$$

Observe that we include $h^-_{i,i}$, hereafter denoted h_i, the ith coordinate hyperplane. The signed graphic arrangement $\mathscr{B}_\Sigma$ is the distinguished sub-arrangement of BC_n that encodes the properness conditions of Σ-colorings. It is the collection

$$\mathscr{B}_\Sigma := \left\{h_e^{\varepsilon(e)}\right\}_{e\in E}.$$

Interpreted as a point in $\mathbb{Z}^n$, a coloring σ is proper if and only if it avoids each hyperplane of $\mathscr{B}_\Sigma$.

Recall that associated to a (central) hyperplane arrangement is a partially ordered set called the intersection lattice, whose elements are the geometric intersections of sub-collections of the arrangement, ordered by reverse containment. These elements are called flats of the arrangement, and they partition the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ by associating to each point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the maximal flat containing $\mathbf{x}$ (equivalently the maximal collection of equalities of type $h_e^{\varepsilon(e)}$ satisfied by $\mathbf{x}$). One of the main objects of our study will be the intersection lattice $L(BC_n)$.

2.2. **Group Actions.** The (Hyperoctahedral) group of signed permutations may be described by its action on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as being the group generated by reflection about the hyperplanes of BC_n. It is sufficient to generate by reflections of type h^+_{ij} and h_i [2], thus moving forward we will use a canonical representation of a signed permutation β in terms of a (b,δ) pair, with permutation $b \in S_n$ and switching set $\delta \subseteq [n]$. The action works first by the permutation reflections, then by the coordinate hyperplanes indexed by the set δ.

Example 1. *The point* $(1,2,-3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ *has image* $(3,2,1)$ *under the signed permutation* β *defined by reflection across the hyperplanes* h^+_{13} *and* h_1. *We represent* β *by the* (b,δ)*-pair* $((1,3),\{1\})$.

This defines a natural group action on signed graphs by acting on the edge set and associated signs: b permuates the endpoint labels over all edges, and δ switches the sign of any edge incident to vertex $i \in \delta$ in the resulting signed graph. We define a Σ-automorphism to be a signed permutation under which Σ is fixed. Observe that $\mathscr{B}_\Sigma$ is invariant under the action of a Σ-automorphism.

2.3. **Quotient Graphs.** Let G be an unsigned graph on n vertices and $b \in S_n$ have cycle decomposition $C_1\cdots C_m$. Then the (unsigned) quotient graph G/b has vertex set $[m]$ and edge multiset $\{\{s,t\} : \ \{i,j\} \in E(G) \ \text{ with } \ i \in C_s, \ j \in$

Example 2. *Let* G *be the graph depicted on the left and* $b \in S_4$ *be the permutation* $C_1C_2C_3 = (1)(23)(4)$. *Then the quotient graph* G/b *is depicted on the right.*

[1]This definition is slightly different from that used in [6]. Translating between them is straightforward.



Given a signed graph Σ (with underlying unsigned graph G) and a signed permutation $\beta = (b, \delta)$, we construct the vertex set of the quotient signed graph Σ/β from G/b by deleting a vertex $\{s\}$ if the intersection of C_s with δ has odd cardinality. The edge set of Σ/β is constructed from edges of G/b by intersecting the endpoint set of each edge with the vertex set of Σ/β (some edges may become half-edges or free loops), in the following manner.

Given a signed permutation $\beta = (b, \delta)$, with $i \in C_s$ and $j = \min\{C_s\}$, we define $\beta_{(\min, i\,]}$ to be the number

$$\beta_{(\min, i\,]} := |\delta \cap \{b(j), b^2(j), \ldots, i\}|.$$

In particular, if $i = \min\{C_s\}$, then $\beta_{(\min, i\,]} = |\{\delta \cap C_s\}|$.

The sign of each edge of Σ/β is determined as follows: let those edges having an endpoint deleted be signed $-$. For each edge e' arising from a Σ edge e with distinct endpoints i and j, we let the sign of e' be given by

$$\varepsilon(e') = \varepsilon(e) \cdot (-1)^{\beta_{(\min, i\,]} + \beta_{(\min, j\,]}}. \tag{1}$$

All other edges inherit their sign from Σ.

Example 3. *Given a signed graph Σ as below and a signed permutation $\beta = \{(1)(23)(4), \{234\}\}$, we construct the quotient graph Σ/β and the signed graph $\beta(\Sigma)$:*



The vertex 3 is deleted from the graph G/b, as $\delta \cap C_3 = \{4\}$, which has odd cardinality. The edges e'_3 and e'_4 are thus signed $-$. The sign of e'_1 is computed to be

$$\varepsilon(e'_1) = (+1) \cdot (-1)^{|\delta \cap \{1\}| + |\delta \cap \{3,2\}|} = (+1) \cdot (-1)^{|\emptyset| + |\{3,2\}|} = +1.$$

Observe that β is not an automorphism, since the sign of the edges $\{2,4\}$ and $\{3,4\}$ changed.

3. Unlabeled Signed Chromatic Polynomials

For a signed permutation $\beta = (b, \delta)$ we define a sub-collection of hyperplanes of BC_n:

$$h_i^\beta := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \; x_i = (-1)^{|\delta \cap \{i\}|} x_{b^{-1}(i)}\}.$$

We define the flat $\hat{\beta}$ associated to β by

$$\hat{\beta} := \bigcap_{i\in[n]} h_i^{\beta}.$$

Note that for $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{\beta}$, we get the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= (-1)^{|\delta\cap\{i\}|}x_{b^{-1}(i)} \\ &\vdots \\ x_{b(k)} &= (-1)^{|\delta\cap\{b(k)\}|}x_k, \end{aligned}$$

so that for $i \in C_s$, with $k = \min\{C_s\}$,

$$x_i = (-1)^{\beta_{(\min,i]}}x_k. \tag{2}$$

Thus $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{\beta}$ is specified by the coordinate indexed by the minimal element of each cycle of b. Observe additionally that for $i \in \{C_s\}$, we get the equality $x_i = (-1)^{|\delta\cap C_s|}x_i$, so that if $|\delta \cap C_s|$ is odd, then $x_i = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. A signed coloring σ is fixed by an automorphism β if and only if it is an element of the geometric set $\hat{\beta}$.

Proof. A point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is fixed by the action of β if and only if for all $i \in [n]$, the dot product $e_i \cdot \beta(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} x_i = e_i \cdot \beta(\mathbf{x}) = e_i \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \delta b(e_j)\right) &= e_i \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \delta(e_{b(j)})\right) \\ &= (-1)^{|\delta\cap\{i\}|}x_{b^{-1}(i)}, \end{aligned}$$

□

so that $\mathbf{x}$ is in h_i^{β} for all $i \in [n]$.

Lemma 3.2. The number of proper signed k-colorings of Σ contained in flat $\hat{\beta}$ is given by $\chi_{\Sigma/\beta}(k)$.

Proof. Given a proper Σ-coloring $\sigma \in \hat{\beta}$, we construct a Σ/β-coloring σ' by letting

$$\sigma'(s) = \sigma(\min\{C_s\}).$$

Since σ is a proper coloring, Σ has no free loops and each edge e of Σ has either distinct vertices or is signed $-$. It follows that Σ/β has no positive half-edges, no positive loops, and no free loops. We then check cases, noting that edges of Σ and Σ/β are in bijection.

(i) If an edge e' has distinct endpoints, then it is a straightforward application of equations (1) and (2) to show that σ' is proper with respect to e'.
(ii) If the edge e' is a negative half-edge or loop at vertex s, then σ' is proper only if $\sigma'(s)$ is non-zero. If such an edge e' arises from an edge e of Σ which is a half-edge or loop at i, then since $\sigma(i)$ is non-zero, so is $\sigma'(s)$. If e has distinct vertices i and j that are identified in the quotient, then again since $\sigma(i)$ is non-zero, so is $\sigma'(s)$. If e' is a negative half-edge arising from deleting the endpoint associated to i, then $\sigma(i) = 0$ and hence $\sigma'(s)$ is non-zero.

Thus σ' is a proper Σ/β coloring.

Given σ' a proper Σ/β-coloring, we find its preimage $\sigma \in \hat{\beta}$ by:

- $\sigma(\min\{C_s\}) = \sigma'(s)$
- $\sigma(b(i)) = (-1)^{|\delta \cap \{b(i)\}|}\sigma(i)$,
- otherwise, $\sigma(i) = 0$.

It remains to show that σ is a proper Σ-coloring. Since Σ/β is colorable, all edges e' have distinct endpoints or are signed $-$. It follows that Σ has no free loops, no positive loops, and no positive half-edges. Again we check cases, noting that there is a bijection between edges of Σ and those of Σ/β.

(i) If an edge e' of Σ/β has distinct endpoints s and t, then it is again a straightforward application of equations (1) and (2) to show that σ is proper with respect to e.
(ii) If an edge e' is a negative half-edge or loop at vertex s, then $\sigma'(s)$ is non-zero. If the associated edge e of Σ is a half-edge or loop at vertex $i \in C_s$, then σ is proper with respect to e since $\sigma(i)$ is non-zero. If the half edge e' is a result of an edge e with endpoints i and j where the endpoint i has been deleted, then $\sigma(i) = 0$, and since $\sigma'(s) \neq 0$, $\sigma(j) \neq 0$ and σ is proper with respect to e.
(iii) If e' is a negative half-edge or loop at vertex s, and e has distinct endpoints i and j in C_s, then equations (1) and (2) show that σ is proper with respect to e.

Thus $\sigma \in \hat{\beta}$ is a proper Σ-coloring. □

The unlabeled chromatic polynomial $\hat{\chi}_\Sigma$ of a signed graph Σ with vertex set $[n]$ is a function whose input is a natural number k and whose output is the number of proper colorings of Σ *up to automorphism* taking values in $[-k,k] \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. It is a natural extension of the unlabeled chromatic polynomial of an ordinary graph, as described by Hanlon in [3].

Theorem 3.3. For a signed graph Σ with automorphism group B, the unlabeled chromatic polynomial $\hat{\chi}_\Sigma(k)$ is given by

$$\hat{\chi}_\Sigma(k) = \frac{1}{|B|}\sum_{\beta \in B} \chi_{\Sigma/\beta}(k).$$

Proof. By Burnside's lemma, we have

$$\#\text{ orbits under } B = \frac{1}{|B|}\sum_{\beta \in B} |\operatorname{fix}(\beta)|.$$

Applying Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we see that the summands on the right-hand-side are given by $\chi_{\Sigma/\beta}(k)$. □

Definition. For a signed graph Σ, we define $P(\Sigma)$, an associated sub-poset of $L(BC_n)$, as follows:

$$P(\Sigma) := \{p \in L(BC_n) : \ p \not\geq h_e^{\varepsilon(e)},\ e \in E\}.$$

Lemma 3.4. The chromatic polynomial $\chi_\Sigma(k)$ of a signed graph Σ with vertex set $[n]$ is given by

$$\chi_\Sigma(k) = \sum_{p \in P(\Sigma)} 2^{n-\rho(p)}(k)_{n-\rho(p)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} W_{n-i}2^i(k)_i,$$

where ρ is the rank function, W_i is the ith Whitney number of the second kind of the poset $P(\Sigma)$, and $(k)_i$ is the falling factorial.

Proof. A coloring is proper if and only if it avoids the signed graphic arrangement, thus integer points on flats not contained by hyperplanes of type $h_e^{\varepsilon(e)}$ are precisely the proper colorings. To count signed k-colorings, notice that on a flat of dimension i there are $\binom{k}{i}$ choices for the magnitudes of the coefficients,

2^i ways to choose the signs, and $i!$ orderings. Thus on each flat of rank i, there are $2^{n-i}(k)_{n-i}$ signed k-colorings. Summing by co-dimension gives the second equality. □

Theorem 3.5. For a signed graph Σ with automorphism group B, the unlabeled chromatic polynomial $\hat{\chi}_\Sigma(k)$ is given by

$$\hat{\chi}_\Sigma(k) = \frac{1}{B}\sum_{\beta\in B}\sum_{\substack{p\in P(\Sigma)\\ p\succeq\hat{\beta}}} 2^{n-\rho(p)}(k)_{n-\rho(p)}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to recall Lemma 3.2 and observe that the inner sum is over flats contained by $\hat{\beta}$ and not contained by the signed graphic arrangement. □

4. Unlabeled Signed Acyclic Orientations

Similar to the orienting of edges of ordinary graphs, *incidences* of signed graphs may be oriented [7], i.e., for a given edge e with endpoint v, we orient the incidence by $\tau(e,v) = \pm$. An orientation of a signed graph is an orientation of each incidence (free loops have no incidences) subject to the following constraint on each edge e with endpoints u and v:

$$\tau(e,v) = -\varepsilon(e)\tau(e,u).$$

An orientation is called acyclic if every cycle contains either a sink or a source.

Let $\Delta(\Sigma)$ denote the set of acyclic orientations of Σ. It is proved in [7] that the number of acyclic orientations of a signed graph Σ is given by $(-1)^{V(\Sigma)}\chi_\Sigma(-1)$, and that there is a bijection between the set $\Delta(\Sigma)$ and the set of maximal connected components (regions) of $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\mathscr{B}_\Sigma$. For $o\in\Delta(\Sigma)$, let $r(o)$ be the associated region.

The natural action of β on an orientation o is the action on $r(o)\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$, which takes regions to regions (since $\mathscr{B}_\Sigma$ is fixed by automorphisms.)

Lemma 4.1. An acyclic orientation $o\in\Delta(\Sigma)$ is fixed by β if and only if $r(o)\cap\hat{\beta}\neq\emptyset$.

Proof. Let β fix $r(o)$. Then for $y\in r(o)$, the orbit of y under $\langle\beta\rangle$ is a subset of $r(o)$, and thus the barycenter $\overline{y}$ of this orbit is in $r(o)$ and is fixed by β. By 3.1, a point in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is fixed by β if and only if it is contained by $\hat{\beta}$, thus $r(o)\cap\hat{\beta}$ is non empty since it contains $\overline{y}$.

Conversely, let $y\in r(o)\cap\hat{\beta}$. An automorphism β is continuous and so preserves connectedness of sets, so that $\beta\cdot r(o)$ is connected. Thus for all y' in $\beta\cdot r(o)$ there exists a path from y to y' in the complement of $\mathscr{B}_\Sigma$. Since $r(o)$ is defined to be a maximally connected subset of the complement, y' is in $r(o)$, showing that $r(o)$ is fixed by β. □

Theorem 4.2. The set $\hat{\Delta}$ of unlabeled acyclic orientations of a signed graph Σ with signed-automorphism group B has cardinality given by

$$|\hat{\Delta}| = \frac{1}{B}\sum_{\beta\in B}(-1)^{V(\Sigma/\beta)}\chi_{\Sigma/\beta}(-1).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks his advisor, Matthias Beck, for suggesting and supervising this work. This project was partially supported by the NSF GK-12 program (grant DGE-0841164).

REFERENCES

[1] Matthias Beck and Thomas Zaslavsky, Inside-out polytopes, Adv. Math. **205** (2006), no. 1, 134–162.
[2] H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser, Generators and relations for discrete groups, third ed., Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 14.
[3] P. Hanlon, The chromatic polynomial of an unlabeled graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **38** (1985), no. 3, 226–239.
[4] Richard P. Stanley, Acyclic orientations of graphs, Discrete Math. **5** (1973), 171–178.
[5] Thomas Zaslavsky, The geometry of root systems and signed graphs, Amer. Math. Monthly **88** (1981), no. 2, 88–105. MR 606249 (82g:05012)
[6] ———, Signed graph coloring, Discrete Math. **39** (1982), no. 2, 215–228.
[7] ———, Orientation of signed graphs, European J. Combin. **12** (1991), no. 4, 361–375.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KY 40507, U.S.A.

E-mail address: Brian.Davis@uky.edu